HAIG POINT UTILITY, INC.

DOCKET NO. 2005-34-W/S

BEFORE THE SOUTH CAROLINA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Testimony Prepared: June 13, 2005

Hearing Date: July 18, 2005

PRE-FILED TESTIMONY OF GARY C. WHITE

THIS TESTIMONY IS FILED PURSUANT TO THE ORDER OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION DATED APRIL 11, 2005. IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION'S RULES OF PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE AND THE AGREEMENT OF THE APPLICANT, THE COMMISSION, THE OFFICE OF REGULATORY STAFF, AND THE INTERVENOR, THE APPLICANT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO PROVIDE REBUTTAL TESTIMONY TO THE TESTIMONY PRE-FILED PURSUANT TO SAID ORDER BY THE COMMISSION STAFF, ORS, AND INTERVENORS AND TO ANY TESTIMONY PROVIDED AT OR BEFORE THE JULY 18, 2005 HEARING AND THE JULY 14, 2005 PUBLIC "NIGHT" HEARING.

BY MR. WALKER: Please state your name for the record. BY MR. WHITE: Gary C. White. BY MR. WALKER: Please state your business address. BY MR. WHITE: 3 Sleepy Hollow Drive, Clifton Park, New York. BY MR. WALKER: What is your occupation? BY MR. WHITE: I am the Director of Accounting with Guastella Associates, Inc. BY MR. WALKER: Can you describe the business of Guastella Associates, Inc.? BY MR. WHITE: Guastella Associates, Inc. is a consulting firm established in 1978 by John F. Guastella. The firm specializes in providing utility consulting services, including rate and valuation services, to public and privately-owned water and sewer utilities. BY MR. WALKER: Where is Guastella Associates, Inc. located? BY MR. WHITE: Our central office is located in Boston with additional offices in Florida and New York.

BY MR. WALKER: Please state your educational background. 1 2 BY MR. WHITE: I received a Bachelor of Science in Business Administration from 3 Valparaiso University in 1972, majoring in accounting, with a minor in finance. 4 5 BY MR. WALKER: Have you completed additional training and/or education 6 7 since your graduation from Valparaiso University? 8 BY MR. WHITE: I have completed a course in utility rate regulation sponsored by the 9 National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners ("NARUC"), the Florida 10 Public Service Commission, and the University of Utah. 11 12 BY MR. WALKER: Please state your work history. 13 14 BY MR. WHITE: I was employed in the unregulated, private industry sector between 15 1972 and 1984, with responsibilities in various areas of business management, 16 accounting and finance. Since 1984, my experience has been concentrated in the areas of 17 management, valuation, and rate setting for water and sewer utilities. During this period, 18 I was responsible for the rate regulation department of General Development Utilities, 19 Inc. which was the largest investor-owned water and sewer utility in Florida. I was 20 subsequently employed as General Manager of Country Knolls Water Works, Inc., an 21

investor-owned utility in upstate New York. I managed all of the utility's regulatory,

accounting and operations activities on a day-to-day basis. I began my employment with
Guastella Associates in 1992.

3

4 BY MR. WALKER: Please state your particular expertise in the regulation of

5 utilities and other utility matters.

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

7 BY MR. WHITE: My experience in utility matters includes the preparation of cost of

service and revenue requirement analyses for both private and municipal utilities. I have

prepared cost allocation, connection charge, and rate design studies; revenue requirement

forecasts; population growth and system capacity projections; market value analyses and

various operations and management evaluations. I have provided rate, regulatory and

system valuation services for clients in Alaska, Arizona, California, Connecticut, Florida,

Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Montana, New Jersey, New

Mexico, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, and South Carolina. I have

served as an instructor at several seminars for developer-related water and sewer utilities,

sponsored by Florida State University and the University of Florida, and at a utility rate

seminar conducted by the New England Chapter of the National Association of Water

18 Companies.

19

20

BY MR. WALKER: How long have you practiced in the area of utility

21 management and rate regulation?

22

23 BY MR. WHITE: I have been involved in the utility industry for over twenty years.

BY MR. WALKER: Before what regulatory agencies and municipal jurisdictions 1 have you presented expert testimony? 2 3 BY MR. WHITE: I have testified as an expert witness in regulatory hearings in 4 Connecticut, Florida, New Jersey, New York, and South Carolina. 5 6 BY MR. WALKER: Please describe the nature of your assignment in connection 7 with this proceeding. 8 9 BY MR. WHITE: Haig Point Utility Company, Inc. ("HPU") retained Guastella 10 Associates to provide expert review of the issues involved with this proceeding. My 11 assignment was to examine the financial information and operating data of the utility, and 12 to coordinate my work with that of John F. Guastella in the preparation of a rate analysis 13 in support of this filing. 14 15 BY MR. WALKER: Did you meet with or receive data from individuals 16 representing HPU in connection with your assignment? 17 18 BY MR. WHITE: Yes. Throughout the process of preparing the rate study, which 19 supports HPU's application for a rate increase, I have worked closely with HPU's 20 management to assure the application accurately depicts HPU's financial position and 21 contains the information necessary to establish its cost of providing its services. I have 22

also received data from HPU's engineering firm, Thomas & Hutton Engineering 1 2 Company. 3 BY MR. WALKER: Who did you meet with or receive data from in connection 4 with your assignment? 5 6 BY MR. WHITE: Thomas Connor, who provided financial data on behalf of HPU, and, 7 as well, provided me with data collected by Jim Collins, an engineer with Thomas & 8 Hutton's Savannah, Georgia office. 9 10 BY MR. WALKER: What documents or data did you receive from Mr. Connor? 11 12 BY MR. WHITE: Mr. Connor provided financial data on behalf of HPU, including 13 HPU's balance sheet and income statements, as well as data related to HPU's financial 14 position, historical operating costs, and projected future operating costs. 15 16 BY MR. WALKER: Were you present when Mr. Connor testified earlier? 17 18 BY MR. WHITE: Yes. 19 20 BY MR. WALKER: In his testimony, Mr. Connor outlined a list of documents and 21 data he supplied to Guastella Associates in connection with the rate study. Was the 22 list supplied by Mr. Connor complete and accurate?

BY MR. WHITE: Yes. 1 2 BY MR. WALKER: Did you request these documents or data from Mr. Connor? 3 4 BY MR. WHITE: Yes, the information provided was essential to performing my 5 analysis. 6 7 BY MR. WALKER: Were the documents and data you received from Mr. Connor 8 of a type reasonably relied upon by experts within your field of expertise to form 9 opinions or complete a rate study? 10 11 BY MR. WHITE: Yes. 12 13 BY MR. WALKER: What documents or data did you receive from Mr. Collins? 14 15 BY MR. WHITE: I received via Mr. Connor an Excel spreadsheet prepared by Mr. 16 Collins, which provided information on various construction projects within Haig Point 17 Plantation. For each project, Mr. Collins provided the approximate scope of water 18 distribution, the approximate scope of sewage collection, the date of operating permit 19 issuance, the value of the project, the value determination method, and various comments 20 regarding each project. 21 22

BY MR. WALKER: Did you request these documents or data?

BY MR. WHITE: Yes, the information provided was essential to performing my 1 analysis. 2 3 BY MR. WALKER: Were the documents and data you received from Mr. Collins 4 via Mr. Connor of a type reasonably relied upon by experts within your field of 5 expertise to form opinions or complete a rate study? 6 7 BY MR. WHITE: Yes. 8 9 BY MR. WALKER: Did you receive any other documentation or data from anyone 10 else to assist in your rate study? 11 12 BY MR. WHITE: Yes. I received via Mr. Connor data on water usage from Sabina 13 Finnegan, who I understand to be an engineer and an officer of HPU. 14 15 BY MR. WALKER: Did you request these documents or data? 16 17 BY MR. WHITE: Yes, the information provided was essential to performing my 18 analysis. 19 20 BY MR. WALKER: Were the documents and data you received from Ms. 21 Finnegan via Mr. Connor of a type reasonably relied upon by experts within your 22 field of expertise to form opinions or complete a rate study? 23

BY MR. WHITE: Yes. 1 2 BY MR. WALKER: Did you rely upon other information in performing the 3 analyses contained in your rate study? 4 5 BY MR. WHITE: Yes. I relied upon my accounting experience, upon my experience in 6 the utility industry, and upon certain statistics published by the National Association of 7 Water Companies' ("NAWC") Economic Research Program. This data was published in 8 1999 and is an analysis of various investor-owned water utilities. The data is broken 9 down into sub-groups according to revenues and according to geographic region. 10 11 BY MR. WALKER: Is the data contained in the 1999 NAWC study contained 12 within the schedules or work papers Guastella Associates submitted in support of 13 the rate application? 14 15 BY MR. WHITE: Yes. The data is summarized in Schedule A-4 to the rate application. 16 17 BY MR. WALKER: Was information gleaned from this statistical data and your 18 practical experience in the utility industry of a type reasonably relied upon by 19 experts within your field of expertise to form opinions or complete a rate study? 20 21 BY MR. WHITE: Yes. 22

- 1 BY MR. WALKER: Was the other data obtained from Mr. Connor, Ms. Finnegan,
- and Mr. Collins incorporated into the schedules or work papers Guastella
- 3 Associates submitted in support of the rate application?

- 5 BY MR. WHITE: Yes. The data was utilized in drafting all of the schedules and work
- 6 papers submitted in support of the rate application.

7

- 8 [APPLICANT'S EXHIBIT 4-RATE STUDY]
- 9 BY MR. WALKER: What was your purpose in performing the rate study?

10

- BY MR. WHITE: To examine HPU's financial data, including revenue, expenses, and
- capital investments, in order to determine the revenue requirement, or the cost of
- providing a service, in the projected future. This, in turn, allows us to structure a rate plan
- which will meet the revenue requirement.

15

- 16 BY MR. WALKER: Is there any particular methodology you used in completing
- 17 the rate study?

18

- 19 BY MR. WHITE: Mr. Guastella and I used a "complete system" methodology in
- 20 completing the analysis.

21

22 BY MR. WALKER: What is a "complete system" methodology?

- 1 BY MR. WHITE: In this type of analysis the revenue requirement is based on the
- 2 projected cost of providing service and projected revenues when all potential customers
- 3 are connected to the utility system. The projections are based on current costs, not
- 4 adjusted for inflation.

- 6 BY MR. WALKER: What is the benefit of using the "complete system"
- 7 methodology?

8

- 9 BY MR. WHITE: This method of developing rates for a growing utility system results in
- 10 rates that are lower than would otherwise be calculated using the current cost of
- providing services. The complete system analysis places the risk of success of the real
- estate venture on the developers/owners, not on the utility customers. The utility
- customer will pay only his proportionate share of the cost of providing service to the fully
- developed utility system, with the developers/owners automatically absorbing the
- 15 unrecovered costs.

16

17 BY MR. WALKER: What was the revenue requirement shown by the rate study?

18

- 19 BY MR. WHITE: The study produced a total revenue requirement of \$455,743.00 for
- 20 the water system and \$535,929.00 for the sewer system.

BY MR. WALKER: How much of an increase in existing water rates does this new revenue requirement reflect? BY MR. WHITE: The revenue requirement, at system build-out, reflects a \$176,946.00 increase over existing water rates. BY MR. WALKER: How much of an increase in existing water revenues does this new revenue requirement reflect? BY MR. WHITE: It equates to a 63.5% increase in water revenues. BY MR. WALKER: How much of an increase in existing sewer rates does this mew revenue requirement reflect? BY MR. WHITE: The revenue requirement, at system build-out, reflects a \$369,688.00 increase over existing water rates. BY MR. WALKER: How much of an increase in existing sewer revenues does this mew revenue requirement reflect? BY MR. WHITE: It equates to a 222.4% increase in sewer revenues.

BY MR. WALKER: What rate of return did you utilize to reach the net operating 1 2 income? 3 BY MR. WHITE: The net operating income is based on an overall rate of return of 4 9.25%. 5 6 7 BY MR. WALKER: What test period or periods did you use? 8 BY MR. WHITE: Our analyses are based on historical June 30, 2004 financial 9 information and a pro forma test year projected through the period of complete system 10 build-out, adjusted for known and measurable changes. 11 12 13 BY MR. WALKER: Have you prepared schedules that summarize your rate analysis? 14 15 BY MR. WHITE: Yes, I will briefly describe all of the schedules submitted in support of 16 the rate increase. As well, Mr. Guastella's testimony may address some of the schedules 17 18 and certain issues within this rate application. These are contained in Applicant's Exhibit 19 4. 20 BY MR. WALKER: Were all of the schedules we are about to discuss prepared by 21 you? 22

- BY MR. WHITE: Yes, using data provided to me by HPU and its engineering firm,
- 2 Thomas & Hutton as well as based upon my experience within the field.

4 BY MR. WALKER: Please explain Schedule A-1

5

- 6 BY MR. WHITE: Schedule A-1 shows HPU's consolidated water and sewer balance
- sheet as of June 30, 2004. This schedule reflects the assets, liabilities and equity as
- 8 recorded and shows HPU's financial position as of June 30, 2004.
- 9 [Contained within Applicant Exhibit 4]

10

BY MR. WALKER: Please explain Schedule A-2.

12

- 13 BY MR. WHITE: This schedule contains the consolidated annual income statement for
- the twelve month period ended June 30, 2004. The amounts shown on Schedule A-2
- reflect the actual level of income generated by the water and sewer operations of the
- 16 Company.
- 17 [Contained within Applicant Exhibit 4]

18

19 BY MR. WALKER: Please explain Schedule A-3.

- 21 BY MR. WHITE: Schedule A-3 shows the Company's capital structure and rate of
- return using a hypothetical 50% level of debt and equity. The schedule also utilizes the
- 23 South Carolina Public Service Commission's method of calculating the operating margin.

2 BY MR. WALKER: Please explain why you utilized the hypothetical 50% level of 3 4 debt and equity. BY MR. WHITE: The current capital structure is all equity with no long-term debt 5 issued. HPU is proposing the use of a projected capital structure composed of 50% long-6 7 term debt and 50% common equity. The use of this hypothetical capital structure results in a lower revenue requirement than would be necessitated by one reflecting 100% 8 9 equity. 10 BY MR. WALKER: What was the operating margin you calculated using the 11 method utilized by the South Carolina Public Service Commission? 12 13 BY MR. WHITE: 14.3%. 14 15 BY MR. WALKER: Please explain how Schedule A-4 relates to Schedule A-3. 16 17 BY MR. WHITE: Schedule A-4 was included to demonstrate that the calculated 18 operating margin of 14.3% as reflected on Schedule A-3 is within a range of 19 reasonableness. The information provided shows that this operating margin is in line 20 with the range of both the revenue group of \$1-\$5 million utilities and the South Region 21 sub-group. HPU would be considered a part of the revenue group and the South Region 22 sub-group for comparison purposes. 23

[Contained within Applicant Exhibit 4]

[Contained within Applicant Exhibit 4] 1 2 BY MR. WALKER: On what data or research did you rely in defining the 3 operating margins for the sub-groups compared to HPU in Schedule A-4? 4 5 BY MR. WHITE: I utilized data collected by the National Association of Water 6 Companies ("NAWC"), a national trade association. The data was published in 1999 as 7 part of the NAWC's economic research program. 8 9 BY MR. WALKER: Please explain Schedule B-1. 10 11 BY MR. WHITE: Schedule B-1 sets forth the computation of the rate base for both the 12 water rate and sewer rate. This schedule shows the rate base components as of June 30, 13 2004, adjustments and pro forma complete system test year balances that are used to 14 develop HPU's revenue requirement. 15 [Contained within Applicant Exhibit 4] 16 17 BY MR. WALKER: What are the components of the rate bases shown in Schedule 18 B-1? 19 20 BY MR. WHITE: The components are the Plant in Service, Accumulated Depreciation, 21 Construction Work in Progress ("CWIP"), Contributions in Aid of Construction, and 22 Accumulated Amortization of Contributions in Aid of Construction. These totaled 23

together equal the Net Plant. To the Net Plant total, I added Working Capital and 1 Unamortized Balances to determine the rate base. 2 3 BY MR. WALKER: Why do you utilize a 1/5th working capital calculation? 4 5 BY MR. WHITE: The 1/5th working capital requirement is a version of the modified 6 Federal Power Commission formula. HPU bills quarterly in arrears on a ninety day 7 cycle. The formula reasons that, on average, service is provided an average of 45 days 8 prior to billing the customer for that service and that it will take an average of 30 days 9 before the customer's payment is received. This results in a 75 day lag period, or 10 approximately 1/5 of a year, and, therefore, the 1/5th working capital allowance is 11 provided based on annual operating and maintenance ("O&M") expense costs. This lag 12 represents a capital requirement placed on HPU and is a positive rate base adjustment. 13 14 BY MR. WALKER: Please explain the average unamortized balance on Schedule 15 B-1. 16 17 BY MR. WHITE: The average unamortized balance represents HPU's average balance 18 of unrecovered rate case expense. As such, this becomes a capital requirement of the 19 utility and is a positive rate base adjustment. 20 21

BY MR. WALKER: Please explain Schedule B-2 1 2 BY MR. WHITE: Schedule B-2 shows the detail of Plant in Service by plant category. It 3 begins with the original cost of plant in service as of June 30, 2004 and brings the 4 accounts forward through the pro forma complete system balances. The totals from this 5 schedule are brought forward to the rate base calculation on Schedule B-1. 6 7 [Contained within Applicant Exhibit 4] 8 BY MR. WALKER: Please explain Schedule B-3. 9 10 BY MR. WHITE: Schedule B-3 contains Accumulated Depreciation by plant category, 11 along with the detailed adjustments necessary to formulate the pro forma complete 12 system balances. The development of annual depreciation accruals for the period through 13 system build-out are supported and detailed on Work Papers 3 (water) and 4 (sewer). 14 [Contained within Applicant Exhibit 4] 15 16 BY MR. WALKER: Please explain Schedule B-4. 17 18 BY MR. WHITE: Contributions in Aid of Construction (CIAC) and the amortization of 19 the CIAC component of rate base are set forth on Schedule B-4. Work Paper 6 develops 20 the CIAC and the Amortization of CIAC levels through the period of system completion. 21 Again, the balances from Schedule B-3 and B-4 are brought forward to the rate base, 22

Schedule B-1.

[Contained within Applicant Exhibit 4] 1 2 BY MR. WALKER: Please explain Schedules C-1(W) and C-1(S). 3 4 BY MR. WHITE: These schedules are the pro forma operating statements or income 5 statements for water and sewer, respectively. Schedules C-1 shows HPU's operating 6 7 results for the historical twelve months ended June 30, 2004 under present and proposed rates. Both schedules also show pro forma adjustments and operating results for the 8 complete system analysis under present and proposed rates. The schedules summarize 9 HPU's cost of operations, resultant net operating income and rate of return. The 10 numbered adjustments on Schedules C-1(W) and C-1(S) reference the explanations of 11 those adjustments provided on Schedules C-2(W) and C-2(S). 12 [Contained within Applicant Exhibit 4] 13 14 BY MR. WALKER: Please summarize the results of Schedule C-1(W) for the pro 15 16 forma test year. 17 BY MR. WHITE: Schedule C-1(W) shows the "Complete System" revenue requirement 18 of \$455,746.00 for water for the pro forma test year. Again, this pro forma test year is 19 projected through the period of complete system build-out, adjusted for known and 20 21 measurable changes. This revenue requirement covers the projected operating expenses of \$355,486.00, providing net operating income of \$100,259.00. The result is an overall 22

9.25% rate of return on the "Complete System" rate base of \$1,083,852.00. The revenue

- requirement is \$176,946.00 greater than the revenue of \$278,800.00 that would be 1 generated by the existing rates at system build-out, or an increase of 63.5%. The revenue 2 levels under present and proposed rates are supported in the billing analyses set forth on 3 the top half of Schedules E-2 and E-3, respectively. 4 [Contained within Applicant Exhibit 4] 5 6 7 BY MR. WALKER: Did you make adjustments to the operating statement for the 8 water system? 9 BY MR. WHITE: Yes. These adjustments are listed on Schedule C-2(W). 10 [Contained within Applicant Exhibit 4] 11 12 BY MR. WALKER: Would you briefly describe the adjustments on C-2(W)? 13 14
- BY MR. WHITE: Yes. Adjustments (1a) through (1f) annualize revenue, by customer class, for the full compliment of water customers for the complete system under present
- 17 rate and proposed rates.

- 19 Adjustment (1g) eliminates the service availability income because at system completion
- 20 all potential customers would be connected and no future growth would exist to generate
- 21 service availability revenues.
- Adjustment (2) increases wages to reflect the projected cost for the complete system
 water company employees.

period.

1	Adjustment (10) increases revenue taxes to reflect pro forma revenue levels under present
2	rates.
3	
4	Adjustment (11) estimates projected property taxes at 1% of the original cost of the water
5	plant investment.
6	
7	Adjustment (12) reflects the payroll taxes applicable to the level of complete system
8	wages for the water operation.
9	
10	Adjustment (13) calculates the state and federal income tax on pro forma taxable income
11	under present rates.
12	
13	Adjustment (14) corrects bad debt expense to reflect the impacts of the increased revenue
14	produced under proposed water rates.
15	Adjustment (15) increases revenue taxes to reflect pro forma revenue levels under
16	proposed water rates.
17	
18	Adjustment (16) calculates the state and federal income tax on pro forma taxable income
19	under proposed water rates.
20	
21	BY MR. WALKER: Please summarize the results of Schedule C-1(S) for the pro
22	forma test year.

- 1 BY MR. WHITE: Schedule C-1(S) shows the "Complete System" sewer revenue
- 2 requirement of \$535,940.00 which covers projected operating expenses of \$398,020.00
- and produces \$137,919.00 of net operating income, resulting in an overall 9.25% rate of
- 4 return on the "Complete System" rate base of \$1,490,912.00. This revenue requirement is
- 5 \$369,688.00 greater than the revenue that would be produced by the existing rates at
- 6 system build-out, and reflects a 222.4% increase over revenue generated by existing
- 7 rates. The revenue levels under present and proposed rates are supported in the billing
- analyses set forth on the lower half of Schedules E-2 and E-3, respectively.
- 9 [Contained within Applicant Exhibit 4]
- 11 BY MR. WALKER: Did you make adjustments to the operating statement for the
- sewer system?

13

16

18

- 14 BY MR. WHITE: Yes. These adjustments are listed on Schedule C-2(S).
- 15 [Contained within Applicant Exhibit 4]
- 17 BY MR. WALKER: Would you briefly describe the adjustments on C-2(S)?
- 19 BY MR. WHITE: Yes. Adjustment (1a) through (1d) annualizes revenue, by customer
- 20 class, for the full compliment of sewer customers for the complete system under present
- 21 rate and proposed rates.

Adjustment (1e) eliminates the service availability income because at system completion all potential customers would be connected and no future growth would exist to generate service availability revenues. Adjustment (2) increases wages to reflect the projected cost of the complete system sewer employees. Adjustment (3) proposes a decrease of per book bad debt expense to reflect a reasonable or normal expected level of 2% of annual revenue produced by existing sewer rates. Adjustment (4) increases the purchased power costs to reflect an historical cost level for projected complete system sewer flows. Adjustment (5) reflects the increase in the annual depreciation accrual associated with the complete system level of sewer plant in service. Adjustment (6) reflects the estimated sewer rate case expense, amortized over a four year period. Adjustment (7) increases revenue taxes to reflect pro forma revenue levels under present

sewer rates.

Adjustment (8) sets projected property taxes at 1% of the original cost of the sewer plant 1 2 investment. 3 Adjustment (9) reflects the payroll taxes applicable to the level of complete system wages 4 for the sewer operation. 5 6 Adjustment (10) calculates the state and federal income tax on pro forma taxable income 7 8 under present sewer rates. 9 Adjustment (11) shows the impacts of the increased revenue, under proposed sewer rates, 10 on the bad debt expense component. 11 Adjustment (12) increases revenue taxes to reflect pro forma revenue levels under the 12 proposed sewer rates. 13 14 Adjustment (13) calculates the state and federal income tax on pro forma taxable income 15 under the proposed rates. 16 17 BY MR. WALKER: Please explain Schedule D-1. 18 19 BY MR. WHITE: This schedule shows the capital structure and resulting cost of capital 20 or rate of return requested in this filing. Since HPU has no outside debt, a 50:50 21 hypothetical capital structure was used. A 7.5% debt cost rate and 11.0% equity rate of 22 return were applied to the capital components which were synchronized to HPU's rate 23

- base investment. This methodology produced a weighted cost of capital of 9.25%,
- which appears reasonable when tested using the South Carolina Public Service
- 3 Commission's method of calculating an operating margin, as demonstrated on Schedule
- 4 D-1.
- 5 [Contained within Applicant Exhibit 4]

- 7 BY MR. WALKER: Please explain the billing analyses presented on Schedules E-1
- 8 and E-1.1.

9

- 10 BY MR. WHITE: Schedule E-1 sets forth the water and sewer billing analyses for the
- historical twelve-month period ended June 30, 2004. Schedule E-1.1 applies the
- proposed rates to the same billing data as that presented on Schedule E-1, thereby
- calculating the water and sewer revenues at year-end June 30, 2004 under proposed rates.
- Schedule E-1.1 is provided to demonstrate, as can be seen on Schedule C-1(W) and C-
- 15 1(S) that applying the proposed rates to historical test year operations only produces a
- 1.71% rate of return for water and a 4.38% rate of return for sewer. The complete system
- analysis results in rates that will produce the pro forma rate of return only when all
- customers are connected. During the interim growth years, a revenue shortfall is
- 19 expected, as shown on the operating statement schedules, and will automatically be
- absorbed by the stockholders.

21

22 [Contained within Applicant Exhibit 4]

BY MR. WALKER: Please explain the billing analyses presented on Schedule E-2. 1 2 BY MR. WHITE: Schedule E-2 sets forth the pro forma water and sewer billing analyses 3 under present rates when the system is complete with its full compliment of connected 4 customers. 5 [Contained within Applicant Exhibit 4] 6 7 BY MR. WALKER: Please explain the billing analyses presented on Schedule E-3. 8 9 BY MR. WHITE: Schedule E-3 applies the proposed rates to the same billing data as 10 appears on Schedule E-2, thus producing revenue equal to the complete system revenue 11 requirement. The billing analyses provide the detailed billing data for the revenues 12 presented on Schedule C-1(W) and Schedule C-1(S). 13 [Contained within Applicant Exhibit 4] 14 15 BY MR. WALKER: Please explain Schedule E-4. 16 17 BY MR. WHITE: Schedule E-4 shows a range of typical water and sewer quarterly bills 18 and compares the bills under present and proposed rates for various levels of metered 19 water usage. It should be noted that the existing water and sewer rates contain a 20 minimum usage allowance. This minimum allowance has been eliminated under the 21 proposed rates in an effort to promote conservation, and to establish a more generally 22 accepted rate structure. 23

[Contained within Applicant Exhibit 4] 1 2 BY MR. WALKER: Briefly explain each of the work papers provided in support of 3 the rate filing schedules, all of which are contained within Applicant's Exhibit 4 Number 4. 5 6 BY MR. WHITE: 7 8 Work Paper 1 contains the water and sewer revenue requirement calculations. It shows 9 the revenue requirement components under the complete system analysis. 10 11 12 Work Paper 2 reflects a detailed schedule of the water and sewer plant in service costs by 13 primary plant categories. 14 Work Paper 3 and 4 provide the detail of annual depreciation and accumulated 15 depreciation of the water and sewer plant in service, respectively. 16 17 18 Work Paper 5 shows annual customer growth to date and projected through system completion. 19 20 Work Paper 6 calculates the proper level of Contributions in Aid of Construction (CIAC) 21 by applying the water and sewer connection fees to the annual connections made to each 22 23 system.

- 1 Work Paper 7 contains the water and sewer rate design schedules. For the purpose of
- 2 promoting water conservation, the water rate design holds the base service charge at its
- 3 existing levels, and flows the revenue increase through the usage charge component of
- 4 the rates. Since sewer revenues are much less usage sensitive, the ratio of base service
- 5 revenues to usage revenues was maintained in developing the proposed sewer rates.
- 6 Work Paper 8 reflects the quarterly billing data for the twelve months ended June 30,
- 7 2004.

11

13

16

- 9 Work Paper 9 sets forth the annual summary of the billing information presented on
- 10 Work Paper 8.
- Work Paper 10 contains the pro forma billing data under the present rates.
- Work Paper 11 contains the customer projections showing total connected units and total
- demand for the complete system.
- Work Paper 12 sets forth a consolidated factor bill analysis of the water system irrigation
- customers. This work paper was used to create irrigation rates with an inclining block
- 19 rate designed to promote conservation and reduce irrigation usage.
- Work Paper 13 reflects the "Statement of Proposed Rates" which shows the present and
- 22 proposed tariff rates and charges.

23

1	BY MR. WALKER: Is the revenue requirement you calculated for both water and
2	sewer, in your expert opinion, warranted and reasonable?
3	
4	BY MR. WHITE: Yes.
5	
6	BY MR. WALKER: On what do you base your opinion that the proposed revenue
7	requirement is warranted and reasonable?
8	
9	BY MR. WHITE: I base my opinion upon a review of the financial data supplied to me
10	as reflected in the Schedules and Work Papers submitted in support of the rate
11	application, upon review of similarly situated utilities, and upon my professional
12	experience.
13	
14	BY MR. WALKER: Does this conclude your testimony/?
15	DA MARIO TATALLERIA DOLO MAIO COMPANIO Y OUR COMMONIA.
16	BY MR. WHITE: Yes.